

## Seasonal changes in microbial community structure and nutrients content in rhizospheric soil of *Aegle marmelos* tree

P. Shilpkar, M. C. Shah, K. R. Modi, S. M. Patel

**Shilpkar P., Shah, M. C., Modi K. R., Patel S. M.** 2010. Seasonal changes in microbial community structure and nutrients content in rhizospheric soil of *Aegle marmelos* tree. Ann. For. Res. 53(2): 135-140, 2010.

**Abstract.** A preliminary investigation was carried out on dominance of different types of microbial communities at different monsoon seasons in rhizospheric soils of *Aegle marmelos* tree. Nutrients content of soil were also determined simultaneously to correlate with the microbial population. Results show that the rhizosphere of *Aegle marmelos* contains gram-negative bacteria, *Rhizobium*, *Azotobacter*, Actinomycetes and Yeast and major plant nutrients and their count as well as dominance changes with moisture content in rhizosphere. Except actinomycetes all the microorganisms were found highest during monsoon season whereas in post-monsoon season Actinomycetes were dominant. Amount of water in rhizosphere soil also affects soil chemical properties. Soil pH, organic carbon, C:N ratio, available nitrogen and available phosphorus were recorded maximum in monsoon, whereas electrical conductivity and total nitrogen content were found maximum in post-monsoon.

**Keywords:** Microbial diversity, microbial count, nutrients content, *Aegle marmelos*.

**Author.** Prateek Shilpkar (pshilpkar@yahoo.com) - Biogas Research and Extension Centre, Gujarat Vidyapith, Sadra - 382 320, District - Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India; Mayur C. Shah - Department of Chemistry, Gujarat Vidyapith, Sadra - 382 320, District - Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India; Kinjal R. Modi, Shital M. Patel - Department of Microbiology, Gujarat Vidyapith, Sadra - 382 320, District - Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India.

**Manuscript** received August 27, 2009; revised July 20, 2010; accepted July 24, 2010; online first December 30, 2010.

### Introduction

Bael tree (*Aegle marmelos*) is found throughout India and other cotenants in dry forest as well

as cultivated. It belongs to family Rutaceae. A decoction of the bark of “Bel” and “Jambhul” is given as remedy for menstrual irregularities (Daniel et al. 2007). The roots are sweet, astringent, bitter and febrifuge. They are useful

in diarrhea, dysentery, dyspepsia, stomachalgia, cardiopalmus, vitiated conditions of *vata*, seminal weakness, uropathy, vomiting, intermittent fever, swelling and gastric irritability in infants. The leaves are astringent, laxative, febrifuge and expectorant, and are useful in ophthalmia, deafness, inflammations, catarrh, diabetes and asthmatic complaints. The unripe fruits are bitter, acrid, sour, astringent, digestive and stomachic, and are useful in diarrhea, dysentery and stomachalgia. The ripe fruits are astringent, sweet, aromatic, cooling, febrifuge, laxative and tonic, and are good for the heart and brain and in dyspepsia (Warrier et al. 2002). Plant properties affect rhizosphere ecology (Brown 1976) through root exudates. Microorganisms and nutrients content are the main components of rhizosphere. Microorganisms influence the composition and quantity of various root exudates components through their effect on root cell leakage, cell metabolism and plant nutrition. Based on differences in root zones, rhizosphere microbial communities can vary in structure and species composition (De Leij et al. 1994, Mahaffee & Kloepper 1997, Griffiths et al. 1998, Lupwayi et al. 1998). Root growth and exudates are also modified by the soil chemistry (Rengel & Marschner 2005, Nelson & Mele 2006). This increased or altered root exudates can selectively favour certain groups of microorganisms (Ikeda et al. 1997) and could alter the nutrient preference of the community of soil microorganisms (Grayston et al. 1998). Several factors such as soil amendments, soil type, its moisture, pH and temperature are known to influence the rhizosphere microflora by their effect on microbial growth and activity. However, as soil chemical and physical factor also bring impacts to bear on soil microbial communities, it would be considered pertinent to couple microbial community analysis with soil pH, nutrients status, C:N ratio etc. for maximum effectiveness (Nielsen & Winding 2002, Bending et al. 2004, Dela Iglesia et al. 2005). Nielsen and Winding (2002) and Bending et al. (2004) also

reported that for maximum effectiveness, soil microbial community analysis should be coupled with a range of chemical, biochemical and physical soil measurements.

The purpose of the study was to find out the effect of root exudates of *Aegle marmelos* on qualitative and quantitative composition of microbial population along with soil chemical properties at different soil moisture level before rains, during rains and after rains. This study may help in agricultural practices to manage the chemical fertilizers according to the season and also indicated the potential role of microbial community in biofertilizers.

## Materials and methods

To increase reliability of results, soil of three voluntarily established trees, grown nearby, was collected from 10 cm depth in rhizospheric zone by scrapping the soil from roots using sterilized spatula and put in UV-sterilized plastic bags. Samples were collected three times i.e. during pre-monsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon seasons from the same locations. Samples were brought to laboratory within two hours and stored at 4°C till analysis. For microbial analysis 10 g sample of each soil from three replicated sites was emulsified in 90 mL sterilized water in aseptic conditions. Now serial decimal dilutions were made from this suspension up to  $1:10^{-10}$ . One mL of each dilution (100  $\mu$ L) was used to inoculate plates in triplicate containing specific growth media for different microorganism like Nutrient agar (for total viable count), MacConkey agar (for gram-negative bacteria), congo-red yeast extract mannitol agar (for *Rhizobium*), Ashby's mannitol agar (for *Azotobacter*) Kenknight and Munaiers medium (for *Actinomycetes*) and yeast extract agar (for Yeast) (Subba Rao, 2001). For chemical analysis the soil samples were air dried and sieved to pass through a 2 mm sieve. The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were determined using a 1:2.5 (w:v) soil:

water ratio and Systronic pH meter and conductivity meter, respectively. Organic carbon was determined by wet oxidation method outlined by Walkley and Black (1934). The available nitrogen and phosphorus were estimated using standard laboratory methods (Singh et al. 2001). For total N estimation, Kjeldahl method was followed as outlined in AOAC (1970). Average values of microbial count and nutrients content for the three replicates are presented here.

## Results

Data revealed that number of all the microorganisms studied increased in monsoon compared to pre-monsoon (Table 1). During post-monsoon number of gram-negative bacteria, *Rhizobium* and yeast decreased compared to both the seasons and varied between 1.564 to

3508.7, 10.07 to 1153.53 and 36.56 to 4793.52 x 10<sup>5</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil. Number of total viable microorganisms and *Azotobacter* also decreased in post-monsoon compared to monsoon and varied between 267.08 to 3815.8 and 6.11 to 150.54 x 10<sup>5</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil. On the other hand number of actinomycetes increased in post-monsoon than monsoon and varied between 0.0098 to 0.0104 x 10<sup>5</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil. Number of *Actinomycetes* was also found maximum in post-monsoon. Soil pH, organic carbon and available phosphorus contents follows the same trend and recorded maximum in monsoon followed by post-monsoon and minimum in pre-monsoon seasons and varied between 7.33 to 8.19, 0.79 to 1.15% and 0.017 to 0.049%, respectively (Table 2). The content of C:N ratio and available nitrogen in soil were found minimum in post-monsoon and maximum in monsoon and varied between 0.67 to 6.91 and 0.05 to 0.19%, respectively (Table 2). Electrical conductivity

**Table 1** Effect of seasons on microbial count (x 10<sup>5</sup>).

Microorganisms	Seasons		
	Pre-monsoon	Monsoon	Post-monsoon
Total Viable Count	267.08	3815.8000 1328.7100*	1035.4257 287.6800** (-) 72.8600***
Gram Negative Bacteria	11.12	3508.7000 31453.0600*	1.5640 (-) 85.9400** (-) 99.9600***
<i>Rhizobium</i>	11.12	1153.5300 10273.4700*	10.0700 (-) 9.4400** (-) 99.1300***
<i>Azotobacter</i>	-	150.5400 -	6.1100 - (-) 95.9400***
Actinomycetes	-	0.0098 -	0.0104 - 6.1200***
Yeast	567.29	4793.5200 744.9900*	36.5600 (-) 93.5600** (-) 99.2400***

Note: \* per cent increase in microbial count during mon-soon over pre-monsoon season; \*\* per cent increase in microbial count during post-monsoon over pre-monsoon season; \*\*\* per cent increase in microbial count during post-monsoon over monsoon season

**Table 2** Effect of seasons on nutrients content of soil.

Nutrients	Seasons		
	Pre-monsoon	Monsoon	Post-monsoon
pH (1:2.5 soil: water ratio)	7.3300	8.0019 11.0073*	7.8000 6.4100** (-) 4.7600***
EC (mScm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.1930	0.1170 (-) 39.3800*	0.3280 69.9500** 180.3400***
Organic Carbon (%)	0.7940	1.1518 41.2800*	0.8751 10.2100** (-) 21.9900***
Total Nitrogen (%)	0.5900	0.3800 (-) 35.0059*	1.3500 128.8100** 255.2600***
C:N Ratio	2.2811	6.9095 202.9000*	0.6761 (-) 70.3600** (-) 90.2100***
Available Nitrogen (%)	0.0700	0.1900 171.4300*	0.0500 (-) 28.5700** (-) 73.6800***
Available Phosphorus (%)	0.0170	0.0049 188.2400*	0.0420 147.0600** (-) 14.2900***

Note: \* - per cent increase in nutrients content mon-soon over pre-monsoon season; \*\* - per cent increase in nutrients content during post-monsoon over pre-monsoon season; \*\*\* - per cent increase in nutrients content during post-monsoon over monsoon season

and total nitrogen content of rhizosphere soil ranged between 0.117 to 0.328 mScm<sup>-1</sup> and 0.38 to 1.35%, respectively and were found maximum in post-monsoon followed by pre-monsoon and monsoon season (Table 2).

## Discussion

Favorable environmental conditions in microclimate of rhizosphere during monsoon may result in the highest number of all the microorganisms except actinomycetes in this period. Preferential increase in gram-negative bacteria in root zone of many plants over *Azotobacter* (Subba Rao 2001) and actinomycetes (Gandhi 1968) were also reported. Root exudates neutralizing the soil pH and alters the microclimate of the rhizosphere through liberation of water

and carbon dioxide (Subba Rao 2001). Higher moisture content during monsoon further enhances soil pH of rhizosphere due to dilution effect. During monsoon period heavy rains occurred in Gujarat. When rain water infiltrate and percolate through soil layers in rhizosphere it left the plant and animal debris brings with from surface area in the rhizosphere which may contribute in organic carbon content. Large effect of seasonal changes in soil moisture, soil temperature and carbon input on soil microbial biomass and its activity was also reported by Ross (1987) which in turn, affect the ability of soil to supply nutrients to plants through soil organic matter turnover (Bonde & Roswall 1987). Microbial biomass has been reported to vary seasonally (Patra et al. 1990). Singh et al. (1989) have also reported a seasonal variation in the microbial C, N and P in forest and

savanna. Reduced number of total viable microorganisms, gram-negative bacteria, *Rhizobium*, *Azotobacter* and yeast in post-monsoon than monsoon (Table 1) reduces the utilization of carbon for their activity and thus indirectly contributes to increased organic carbon. Lower soil temperature during monsoon and post-monsoon seasons resulted in lower oxidation of organic matter hence organic carbon content increased in these seasons compared to pre-monsoon. Effect of climatic conditions on soil organic carbon was also reported by Jenny (1980).

Better root growth and higher urease activity of root exudates in monsoon may result in higher available nitrogen in monsoon. The root exudation in cold environment during monsoon season may also contribute to nitrogen input. Huge input of nitrogen into soil by decay of root exudation in cold temperate deciduous forest was reported by Vogt et al. (1986).

Although the favorable microclimate during monsoon and post-monsoon seasons in rhizosphere resulted in increased microbial number and activities and they stimulate the exudation of organic substances from roots but they also consume a substantial part of them (Sundin et al. 1990). It may also be a reason to non-consistent nutrient accumulation in soil.

It can be concluded that the rhizospheric soil of *Aegle marmelos* tree contains all the major agriculturally important microorganisms, their succession and dominance can be correlated with soil moisture content and its chemical properties.

## References

AOAC, 1970. Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washington, D.C.  
 Bending G. D., Turner M. K., Rayns F., Marx M. C., Wood M., 2004. Microbial and biochemical soil quality indicators and their potential for differentiating areas under contrasting agricultural management regimes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 36(11): 1785-1792.  
 Bonde T.A., Roswall T., 1987. Seasonal variation of Potentially mineralizable nitrogen in four cropping

systems. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 51: 1508-1514.  
 Brown M. E., 1976. Microbial manipulation and plant performance. In: Schippers, B., Gams, W., (eds.), *Microbiology in Agriculture, Fisheries and Food*. Academic Press, London, pp. 37-53.  
 Daniel M., Arya A., Raole V. M., 2007. *Herbal Technology: Recent Trends and Progress*. Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, India.  
 De la Iglesia R., Castro D., Ginocchio R., Van der Lelie D., Gonzalez B., 2005. **Factors influencing the composition of bacterial communities found at abandoned copper-tailings dumps.** *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 100(3): 537-544.  
 De Leij F.A.A.M., Whipps J. M., Lynch J. M., 1994. The use of colony development for the characterization of bacterial communities in soil and on roots. *Microbial Ecology* 27(1): 81-97.  
 Gandhi A.B., 1968. Rhizosphere studies. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of microbiology, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.  
 Grayston S. J., Wang S., Campbell C. D., Edwards A. C., 1998. Selective influence of plant species on microbial diversity in the rhizosphere. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 30(3): 369-378.  
 Griffiths B. S., Ritz K., Ebbelwhite N., Dobson G., 1998. Soil microbial community structure: effects of substrate loading rates. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 31(1): 145-153.  
 Ikeda K., Toyota K., Kimura M., 1997. Effects of soil compaction on the microbial populations of melon and maize rhizoplane. *Plant Soil* 189(1): 91-96.  
 Jenny H., 1980. *The soil resource*. Springer, New York.  
 Lupwayi N.Z., Rice W.A., Clayton G.W., 1998. Soil microbial diversity and community structure under wheat as influenced by tillage and crop rotation. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 30(13): 1733-1741.  
 Mahaffee W.F., Kloepper J.W., 1997. Temporal changes in the bacterial communities of soil, rhizosphere, and endorhiza associated with field grown cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Microbial Ecology* 34(3): 210-223.  
 Nelson D.R., Mele P.M., 2006. The impact of crop residue amendments and lime on microbial community structure and nitrogen-fixing bacteria in the wheat rhizosphere. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 44(4): 319-329.  
 Nielsen M. N., Winding A., 2002. Microorganisms as indicators of soil health. National Environmental Research Institute, Denmark. Technical Report No. 388.  
 Patra D. D., Brookes P. C., Coleman K., Jenkinson D. S., 1990. Seasonal changes of soil microbial biomass in an arable and a grassland soil which have been under uniform management for many years. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 22(6): 739-742.  
 Rengel Z., Marschner P., 2005. Nutrient availability and management in the rhizosphere, exploiting genotypic differences. *New Phytologist* 168(2): 305-312.  
 Ross D. J., 1987. Soil microbial biomass estimated by the

- fumigation-incubation procedure: seasonal fluctuation and influence of soil moisture content. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 19(4): 397-404.
- Singh D., Chhonkar P. K., Pandey R. N., 2001. Soil, Plant and Water analysis: Methods Manual. Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, I. A. R. I., New Delhi.
- Singh J. S., Raghubanshi A. S., Singh, R. S., Srivastava, S.C., 1989. Microbial biomass acts as a source of plant nutrients in dry tropical forest and savanna. *Nature* (London) 338: 499-500.
- Subba Rao N. S., 2001. *Soil Microbiology*. Oxford and IBH publishing Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi.
- Sundin P., Valeur A., Olsson S., Odham G., 1990. Interactions between bacteria-feeding nematodes and bacteria in the rape rhizosphere: effects on root exudation and distribution of bacteria. *FEMS, Microbiology Ecology* 73(1): 13-22.
- Vogt K. A., Grier C. C., Vogt D. J., 1986. Production, turnover and nutrient dynamics of above - and belowground detritus of wood forests. In: MacFayden, A., Ford, E.D. (eds.), *Advances in Ecological Research*. Academic Press: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, London, pp. 303-378.
- Walkley A. J., Black I.A., 1934. Estimation of soil organic carbon by chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* 37: 29-38.
- Warrier P. K., Nambiar V. P. K., Ramankutty C., 2002. *Indian Medicinal Plants*. Volume 1. Orient Longman Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad, India, 227 p.